



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/682,333 | 08/21/2001 | David Goldberg | 105864 | 6794 |

27074 7590 09/05/2003

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC.
P.O. BOX 19928
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

LAO, LUN YI

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2673

DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/682,333

Applicant(s)

Goldberg et al

Examiner

Lun-yi Lao

Art Unit

2673

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lun-yi Lao

(3) _____

(2) Robert J. Webster

(4) _____

Date of Interview Sep 2, 2003Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: Saitoh and Fishkin et al

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The applicants' attorney agree that Saitoh and Fishkin et al do not teach the limitations of "storing information about the user comprising the level of authority of the user" cited in claims 1 and 5. To await for applicants' further response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

LUN-YI LAO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2673

Lun-yi Lao

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required